

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/507,941	02/22/2000	Masato Ochiai	35.C14278	2960
5514 7590 01/18/2008 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			ENGLAND, DAVID E	
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/507,941	OCHIAI, MASATO				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David E. England	2143				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>David E. England</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Jennifer Reda</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 10 January 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: <u>Purposed amendments</u> .						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed: RFC 2390 and Fujimori.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		•				
		•				
	$\wedge$ $\cdot$ $\iota$					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Alexand Examiner's sign	ature, if required				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20080110

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative purposed claim amendments that would further prosecution. The overall amendment would teach that the packet header is no longer being set with an address but now a node is set with an address. Examiner viewed the purposed amendments and asked that the Attorney point out where in the specification the amendment was taught. After doing such, it appeared that the specification did not support the claim amendments. Examiner stated that if the Applicant still wished to file the amended claims in the response, it was crucial that the Remarks Specifically pointed to the enabling sections of the specification AND drawings, where the amended claim language was taught. If this was not done, then the next office action will have 112 rejections. Furthermore, the claims state the language, "adapted to", which can be interpreted as the invention not specifically performing a task but could perform the claim language, i.e., this language is not positively stated. Examiner suggested that the claim language should state "for" instead of "adapted to". Examiner awaits Applicant's response.

02